

hebbRNN: A Reward-Modulated Hebbian Learning Rule for Recurrent Neural Networks

Jonathan A Michaels

German Primate Center, Göttingen, Germany

Hansjörg Scherberger

German Primate Center, Göttingen, Germany; Biology Department, University of Göttingen, Germany

22 August 2016

Paper DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21105/joss.00060>

Software Repository: <https://github.com/JonathanAMichaels/hebbRNN>

Software Archive: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.154745>

Summary

How does our brain learn to produce the large, impressive, and flexible array of motor behaviors we possess? In recent years, there has been renewed interest in modeling complex human behaviors such as memory and motor skills using neural networks (Sussillo et al. 2015; Rajan, Harvey, and Tank 2016; Hennequin, Vogels, and Gerstner 2014; Carnevale et al. 2015; Laje, Buonomano, and Buonomano 2013). However, training these networks to produce meaningful behavior has proven difficult. Furthermore, the most common methods are generally not biologically-plausible and rely on information not local to the synapses of individual neurons as well as instantaneous reward signals (Martens and Sutskever 2011; Sussillo and Abbott 2009; Song, Yang, and Wang 2016).

The current package is a Matlab implementation of a biologically-plausible training rule for recurrent neural networks using a delayed and sparse reward signal (Miconi 2016). On individual trials, input is perturbed randomly at the synapses of individual neurons and these potential weight changes are accumulated in a Hebbian manner (multiplying pre- and post-synaptic weights) in an eligibility trace. At the end of each trial, a reward signal is determined based on the overall performance of the network in achieving the desired goal, and this reward is compared to the expected reward. The difference between the observed and expected reward is used in combination with the eligibility trace to strengthen or weaken corresponding synapses within the network, leading to proper network performance over time.

References

Carnevale, Federico, Victor de Lafuente, Ranulfo Romo, Omri Barak, and Néstor Parga. 2015. “Dynamic Control of Response Criterion in Premotor Cortex during Perceptual Detection under Temporal Uncertainty.” *Neuron* 86 (4): 1067–77. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.04.014.

Hennequin, Guillaume, Tim P Vogels, and Wulfram Gerstner. 2014. “Optimal control of transient dy-

- namics in balanced networks supports generation of complex movements.” *Neuron* 82 (6): 1394–1406. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.04.045.
- Laje, Rodrigo, Dean V Buonomano, and Dean V Buonomano. 2013. “Robust timing and motor patterns by taming chaos in recurrent neural networks.” *Nature Neuroscience* 16 (7): 925–33. doi:10.1038/nn.3405.
- Martens, J, and I Sutskever. 2011. “Learning recurrent neural networks with hessian-free optimization.” *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Machine Learning*.
- Miconi, Thomas. 2016. “Flexible decision-making in recurrent neural networks trained with a biologically plausible rule.” *BioRxiv*, July. doi:10.1101/057729.
- Rajan, Kanaka, Christopher D Harvey, and David W Tank. 2016. “Recurrent Network Models of Sequence Generation and Memory.” *Neuron* 90 (1): 128–42. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.009.
- Song, H Francis, Guangyu R Yang, and Xiao-Jing Wang. 2016. “Training Excitatory-Inhibitory Recurrent Neural Networks for Cognitive Tasks: A Simple and Flexible Framework.” *PLoS Computational Biology* 12 (2): e1004792. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004792.
- Sussillo, David, and L F Abbott. 2009. “Generating coherent patterns of activity from chaotic neural networks.” *Neuron* 63 (4): 544–57. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.07.018.
- Sussillo, David, Mark M Churchland, Matthew T Kaufman, and Krishna V Shenoy. 2015. “A neural network that finds a naturalistic solution for the production of muscle activity.” *Nature Neuroscience* 18 (7): 1025–33. doi:10.1038/nn.4042.